Teoría Electrodébil

Jens Erler (IF-UNAM) IV Escuela de Física Fundamental 2008 23 al 27 de Junio Instituto de Física de la Universidad de Guanajuato

Outline

Lecture I: Principles and Theoretical Foundations of the Standard Model (SM)

Lecture II: The Model for Leptons

Lecture III: The Standard Theory

Secture IV: Experimental Tests

Lecture V: Beyond the Standard Model

Lecture I Principles and Theoretical Foundations of the SM

Introduction

Quantum Mechanics

Relativistic Quantum Mechanics

Space Inversion and Time Reversal

Cluster Decomposition Principle

Lecture I Principles and Theoretical Foundations of the SM

Causality

Free Quantum Fields

Quantum Field Theory

Local Gauge Symmetries

Gauge Theories

Introduction

- The 4 known forces have very different characters.
 But all are based on quantum field theories (QFTs);
 and all exhibit some form of local gauge symmetry.
- Solution Historically: Maxwell's equations \rightarrow Lorentz invariance and local gauge invariance \rightarrow current conservation.
- Modern view: Lorentz invariance + quantum mechanics
 (QM) + cluster decomposition principle \rightarrow QFT and
 current conservation \rightarrow local gauge invariance.

Quantum Mechanics

- Axiom I: physical states are represented by rays in Hilbert space (a complex vector space with scalar product).
- Axiom II: obserables are represented by Hermitian operators (linear mappings with adjoints).
- Axiom III: probability: P(R₁→R₂) = |⟨Ψ₁|Ψ₂⟩|², Ψ_i∈R_i.
- Wigner's symmetry representation theorem: probability conserving ray transformations are represented by unitary and linear or else antiunitary and antilinear (e.g., time inversion symmetry, T) operators.

 \Rightarrow continuous symmetry operators are unitary and linear.

Relativistic Quantum Mechanics

of the inhomogeneous Lorentz (Poincaré) group.

P ²	Po	standard k^{β}	little group	comments
> 0	> 0	(M,0,0,0)	SO(3)	massive particle
> 0	< 0	(-M,0,0,0)	SO(3)	E < 0 (unphysical)
= 0	> 0	(k,k,0,0)	ISO(2)	massless particle
= 0	= 0	(0,0,0,0)	SO(3,1)	vacuum (no particles)
= 0	< 0	(-k,k,0,0)	ISO(2)	E < 0 (unphysical)
< 0	any	(0,M,0,0)	SO(2,1)	tachyon (v > c)

spin of massive particle: algebra as in QM.

Image helicity of massless particle: topology of SO(3,1) = $SL(2,C)/Z_2$ is that $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^3/Z_2$ and is doubly connected

Space Inversion and Time Reversal

Photons (gravitons) with h = +1 (+2) and h = -1 (-2) belong to different irreps of the proper, orthochronous, inhomogeneous Lorentz group.

Ø But {P, P} = [P, J] = 0 ⇒ h → -h under P ⇒ photons (gravitons) with h = ±1 (±2) belong to the same irrep if P is included.

But ν (h = +½) and \overline{v} (h = −½) are distinguished.

• $T^2 \Psi = -\Psi$ if Ψ is a state with an odd # of $\frac{1}{2}$ integer particles; if $T \Psi = \zeta \Psi \Rightarrow T^2 \Psi = T \zeta \Psi = \zeta^*$ $T \Psi = \zeta^* \zeta \Psi = \Psi \neq -\Psi \Rightarrow$ Kramers degeneracy \Rightarrow EDMs and GDMs forbidden by T.

Cluster Decomposition Principle

- Introduce creation & annihilation operators, a⁺ and a.
- Theorem: free Hamiltonian can always be written as $H = \sum_{N,M=0}^{\infty} \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{M} dp_i dq_j a^{\dagger}(p_i) a(q_j) h_{NM}(p_i, q_j)$
- Cluster decomposition principle: Distant experiments yield unrelated results, i.e. S-matrix elements (scattering amplitudes) factorize.
- Theorem: satisfied if h^{nm} contains only one δ -function.
- Note: a⁺ and a are defined in momentum space.
- a 2 identical particles: |...p...p'...> = α |...p'...p...>; α can
 not depend on other particles in |...>, J, P, path (D>2).

Causality

The S-matrix must also be Lorentz-covariant.

- Proper Lorentz-transformations ⇒ (Noether's theorem) conserved charges K^a; but [H,K^a] ≠ 0 while [H,P^a] = [H,J^a] = 0.
- Complication with no counterpart in non-rel. theories.
- → Lorentz-invariance requires causality, $[\mathcal{H}(x),\mathcal{H}(y)] = 0$ for $(x-y)^2 \leq 0$.

Note: this condition is formulated in configuration space.

Free Quantum Fields

Introduce creation & annihilation fields,

 $\psi_{-}^{\beta}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sum_{\sigma} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{2p^{0}} v^{\beta}(\vec{p},\sigma,n) a^{\dagger}(\vec{p},\sigma,n) \ e^{ipx}$ $\psi^{\beta}_{+}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sum \int \frac{d^{3}p}{2p^{0}} u^{\beta}(\vec{p},\sigma,n) a(\vec{p},\sigma,n) \ e^{-ipx}$ • $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbf{K}\Psi^+ + \lambda \Psi^-$ (scalar), $D(x) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0} e^{-ip(x)} \Rightarrow \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0} e^{-ip(x)}$ $[\Psi(x), \Psi(y)]_{+-} = \kappa \lambda (1 \pm 1) D(x-y)$ lower sign $[\psi(x),\psi^{\dagger}(y)]_{+-} = (|\kappa|^2 \pm |\lambda|^2) D(x-y) \Longrightarrow \kappa = \lambda$ \Rightarrow scalar fields are bosons.

Quantum Field Theory

origination index l → fields are finite-dimensional, non-unitary irreps of the Lorentz group.

- H is now a sum of products of quantum fields (incl. derivatives), where Lorentz scalars are constructed using technique of Clebsch-Gordon coefficients.
- free field equations: Klein-Gordon equation,
 (□+m²)φ_i = 0 and first order differential or algebraic constraint equation, e.g. ∂^βV_β(x)=0 or [iγ_β∂^β-m] Ψ(x)=0.
- antiparticles (not just Dirac fermions).
- spin-statistics connection
- OPT theorem

Local Gauge Symmetries

- Sometimes there is no solution for u and v.
- Theorem: No 4-vector field, A^{β} , can be constructed from the a and a⁺ for a particle of h = ±1 and m = 0!
- Ould use B^{βγ} = −B^{γβ}, but uniqueness theorem ⇒
 B^{βγ} = F^{βγ} = ∂^βA^γ − ∂^γA^β; possible, but gives no 1/r²−law.
- O(Λ) A^βU⁻¹(Λ) = Λ^{γβ}A_γ(x) + ∂^βΩ(x) ⇒ Lorentz- invariance is restored if we require invariance under A^β(x) → A^β(x) - ∂^βω(x) ⇒ L(A) = A^βJ_β with ∂^βJ_β = 0.

The Generalizes to gravity: $h = \pm 2$, m = 0 and $h^{\beta\gamma} = h^{\gamma\beta}$.

Gauge Theories

Non-Abelian gauge symmetry:

 $\delta \psi^{a}(x) = i\omega^{r}(x)t_{r}^{ab}\psi(x)^{b}, \quad \delta A^{r}_{\beta} = C^{rst}\omega^{t}(x)A^{s}_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\omega^{r}(x)$

- Positivity of quantum mechanical scalar product ⇒ direct sum of U(1) and compact simple Lie subalgebras SU(N), SO(N), USp(2N), G₂, F₄, E₆, E₇, E₈ (Cartan).
- Ø QED conserves parity (P) and P connects h and -h ⇒ although different irreps, both are called "photons".
- Neutrinos, h = +1/2, m = 0, differ from antineutrinos, h = $-1/2 \Rightarrow$ chiral gauge symmetry.

Lecture II The Model for Leptons

Gauge Group of Electroweak Interactions

Gauge Bosons

Gauge Couplings

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (SSB)

Scalar Doublet

Lecture II The Model for Leptons

Goldstone Theorem
Higgs Mechanism
Gauge Boson Masses
Infinities
Renormalizability
Anomalies

Gauge Group of Electroweak Interactions

𝔅 $(v^e, e^-)^L + (e^-)^R \rightarrow U(2)^L \times U(1)^R \equiv SU(2)^L \times U(1)^L \times U(1)^R$

G = SU(2)^L×U(1)^y with y ≡ Q − T₃, and T₃ traceless.

 $T_3^L = diag(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), T_3^R = 0 \implies y(v^e, e^-) = -\frac{1}{2}, y(e^-) = +1.$

Gauge Bosons

adjoint irrep → 1 gauge boson per group generator
 $W_{\mu}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W_{\mu}^{1} \mp i W_{\mu}^{2}),$ $Z_{\mu}^{0} = \cos \theta_{W} W_{\mu}^{3} - \sin \theta_{W} B_{\mu},$ $A_{\mu} = \sin \theta_{W} W_{\mu}^{3} + \cos \theta_{W} B_{\mu},$

W: weak charged current processes (e.g., β-decays).
 doublet-singlet structure → (V-A)-law of weak force.
 Z⁰: neutral current (predicted).

Gauge Couplings

$$e = g \sin \theta_W = g' \cos \theta_W = \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + {g'}^2}}.$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\partial_\mu \vec{W}_\nu - \partial_\nu \vec{W}_\mu - g \vec{W}_\mu \times \vec{W}_\nu \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu \right)^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ triple and quartic gauge boson self-interactions}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ interaction with leptons (and other spin-1/2 and spin-0 matter particles) through covariant derivatives}$$

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ig \vec{T} \vec{W}_\mu + ig' Y B_\mu.$$

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (SSB)

- Short-range weak force ⇒ W and Z must be massive; but adding mass terms breaks gauge invariance.
- Section E.g., 2 vs. 3 physical degrees of freedom (d.o.f.).
- SSB: symmetries of *L* remain fully intact, but lowest energy (vacuum) state of the theory is degenerate.
- Symmetry makes physical consequences of various vacua indistinguishable but is itself obscured (hidden).
- For continuous, global symmetries in QFTs, SSB yields massless spin-0 fields (Nambu-Goldstone) bosons.

Scalar Doublet

 $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x) + i\phi_2(x) \\ \phi_3(x) + i\phi_4(x) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \phi_i = \phi_i^{\dagger}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\Phi} = \partial_{\mu} \Phi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \Phi - m_{\Phi}^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2$ $= \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi_i \partial^{\mu} \phi_i - \frac{1}{2} m_{\Phi}^2 \phi_i \phi_i - \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 (\phi_i \phi_i)^2.$ $m_{\Phi}^2 > 0$: $\langle \phi_i \rangle = 0$, $SO(4) = SU(2) \times SU(2).$ $|m_{\Phi}^2 < 0: |\langle \Phi
angle| = \sqrt{-rac{m_{\Phi}^2}{\lambda^2}} \equiv rac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \ SO(3) = SU(2).$ $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x) + i\phi_2(x) \\ v + \eta(x) + i\phi_4(x) \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$ $V = -\frac{\lambda^2 v^4}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2 v^2}{2} \eta^2 + \frac{\lambda^2 v}{2} \eta \phi_i \phi_i + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (\phi_i \phi_i)^2.$

Goldstone Theorem

- \oslash O(N): N-1 Goldstone bosons.
- O(N) → O(N-1): $\frac{1}{2} N (N-1) \frac{1}{2} (N-1) (N-2) = N-1$.
- A spontaneoulsy broken continuos symmetry requires the existence of a particle with m = s = 0 and the same parity and quantum numbers as J⁰ (current).
- If the symmetry is only approximate: pseudo-Goldstone bosons.
- If the symmetry is explicitly broken to O(N−1) then by virtue of a vacuum alignment condition there is no further breaking to O(N-2).

Higgs Mechanism

If $\Phi(x)$ transforms non-trivially under SU(2)×U(1) gauge transformations, go to unitary gauge,

$$U\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ v + H(x) \end{array} \right)$$

• The bilinear terms in the covariant derivative of $\Phi \rightarrow \Delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{8}v^2 \left[g^2 (W^1_{\mu})^2 + g^2 (W^2_{\mu})^2 + (g'B_{\mu} - gW^3_{\mu})^2\right] \Rightarrow M_W = \frac{1}{2}gv, \qquad M_Z = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g^2 + {g'}^2}v, \qquad M_{\gamma} = 0.$ • \Rightarrow puzzling d.o.f. counting rectified. • Notice that we can now write $\sin^2 \theta_W = 1 - \frac{M^2_W}{M^2_{\pi}}$.

Higgs Mechanism

D.o.f. represented by η: Higgs boson H with M_H = λv.
Trilinear and quatrilinear Higgs-gauge boson couplings.
Can use other Higgs irreps than doublets, but a doublet allows Yukawa terms. E.g.,

 $\mathcal{L}_Y = -\sqrt{2}\lambda_e \overline{(\nu_e, e)}_L \Phi e_R + \text{H.c.} \Rightarrow m_e = \lambda_e v.$

Summary of parameters: g, g', λ, λ^e, v.
 Custodial SU(2) X SU(2).

Gauge Boson Masses

 $M_W = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi\alpha(M_Z)}}{2\sin\theta_W} v \approx \frac{38.59 \text{ GeV}}{\sin\theta_W} \approx 86 \pm 7 \text{ GeV},$ $M_Z = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi\alpha(M_Z)}}{2\sin\theta_W\cos\theta_W} v \approx \frac{77.18 \text{ GeV}}{\sin 2\theta_W} \approx 96 \pm 6 \text{ GeV}.$ \odot Use $\alpha^{-1}(M_Z) \approx 127.9$ resumming $\ln M_Z/m_f$ terms. α grows with energy (screening) $\Leftrightarrow \beta > 0.$ Also use sin²θ^W = 0.20 ± 0.03 from 1978 experiment (Prescott et al.) on eD fixed-target scattering.

Infinities

 \odot Perturbation theory \rightarrow Feynman diagrams.

- \oslash Closed particle loops \rightarrow divergent expressions.
- A theory can be renormalized if the infinities match set of infinite counterterms that one may "add" to *L*
- Note, however, that renormalization has nothing directly to do with infinities.

Renormalizability

- Section Examples: Gauge theories with HDOs and gravity.
- Stricter (Dyson) sense of renormalizability: finite # of counterterms sufficient.
- Standard Model (SM)
- Still need to show that gauge invariance constrains the infinities in the same way as the counterterms.
- QED: Dyson, SM: 't Hooft, Veltman; Lee, Zinn-Justin

Anomalies

- Anomalies: symmetry violation by quantum effects.
- Gauge anomalies: unacceptable (no counterterms).
- Arise from chiral loops with (D+2)/2 gauge-bosons (possibly including gravitons) attached.
- In D=4: triangle anomalies → like triple-boson vertex divergence, but without corresponding ∞ for 4 bosons.
- Path-integral (spacetime approach to QM) interpretation:
 in Jacobian determinant; field-independent but regularization introduces gauge-field dependence.

The model for leptons has gauge anomalies \Rightarrow modify!

Lecture III The Standard Theory

Lepton Replication and Muon Decay
Experimental Milestones
Quarks
Gluons
Asymptotic Freedom

Quantum Chromodynamics

SM Particle Summary

Lecture III The Standard Theory

Quark Mixing

Flavor Changing Transitions

Selectroweak CP Violation

New Type of CPV Discovered?

Strong CP Violation

CKM-Matrix

SM Parameter Summary

Lepton Replication & μ Decay

Who ordered the muon? But good thing someone did!

• Amplitude of $\mu \to \nu_{\mu} e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ proportional to $g^2/M_W^2 \Rightarrow v = \frac{2M_W}{g} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}G_F}} = 246.22 \text{ GeV}.$

• Fermi constant (FAST, µLan): $G_F = 1.166367 \pm 0.000005 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$.

Extraction from muon lifetime requires forth-order (two-loop) corrections (van Ritbergen, Stuart).

 $\alpha = e^2/4\pi = 1/(137.0359997\pm0.0000001)$ from electron four-loop anomalous magnetic moment formula.

Tau: only known hadronically decaying lepton.

Experimental Milestones

✓ W and Z discovered in p anti-p collisions at SPS.
 ✓ M_W ~ 80 GeV and M_Z ~ 92 GeV reconstructed.
 O Most general amplitude for µ-decay: 19 (6) real Michel parameters if outgoing v is (not) observed.

 $\checkmark \Rightarrow \lor - A$ structure confirmed.

✓ Neutral current discovery at CERN (1973) in a single event in $\nu_{\mu}e^{-}$ elastic scattering.

✓ v and e⁻-scattering experiments in 1978 proved P and singled out gauge groups of irreps.

Quarks

- Solution Set the set of the

The Likewise, need (c,b) and (t,b) for μ and τ sectors.

All other gauge anomalies also cancel.

Gluons

The color quantum # or free quarks have never been
 observed →

assume non-Abelian color gauge group SU(3) with a coupling becoming stronger at large distances ↔ confinement hypothesis ⇒ need β < 0 (antiscreening).
</p>

 \oslash Non-Abelian gauge theories only QFTs with β < 0.

SU(3) gauge bosons (gluons) massless and confined into colorless hadrons (mesons, baryons, antibaryons, pentaquarks (?), glueballs (?), etc.).

Asymptotic Freedom



Quantum Chromodynamics

Gluons and quarks can be indirectly observed in highenergy collisions as directionally clustered collections of hadrons (jets).

✓ E.g., gluon discovery at PETRA (DESY): planar 3-jet events (gluon Bremstrahlung by one of a quark pair).
 ✓ Jet event rates ⇒ N and α_s ≡ g²/_{4π}, the latter also in
 ✓ high energy e⁺e⁻ annihilation, ⊤ lifetime, Z⁰ decays.
SM Particle Summary

multiplet			spin	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$
Higgs			0	1	2	-1/2
$(\nu_e, e^-)_L$	$(u_{\mu},\mu^{-})_{L}$	$(u_{ au}, au^{-})_L$	1/2	1	2	-1/2
e_R^-	μ_R^-	$ au_R^-$	1/2	1	1	- 1
$(u, d)_L$	$(c, s)_L$	$(t, \overline{b})_L$	1/2	3	2	+1/6
u_R	c_R	t_R	1/2	3	1	+2/3
d_R	s_R	b_R	1/2	3	1	-1/3
gluons			1	8	1	0
ec W			1	1	3	0
B			1	1	1	0

(plus antiparticles)

Quark Mixing

- Diagonalize with bi-unitary trafo (change of basis).
- Weak interaction eigenstates (d', s') not diagonal in new (mass) basis (d, s) when U^{Lu} differs from U^{Ld}:
 d' = cosθ d + sinθ s, s' = -sinθ d + cosθ s.
- \odot $\theta \approx 13^{\circ}$: Cabibbo angle
- Icolumbratical U^{Ld} have been used to arrange u' = u, d' = d, and are unobservable (only left-handed doublets).
- \implies In quark sector $G_F \rightarrow G_F \cos^2 \theta_c$ (intra-generation).

Flavor Changing Transitions

Solution Flavor changing charged current (FCCC) transitions between first two families; e.g., $K^- → \pi^0 e^- \overline{\nu}_e$, possible but suppressed by sin²θ ≈ 0.05

Solution Flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) transitions are also predicted; e.g., $K^0 - \overline{K^0}$ oscillations or $K^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$.

But contributions from two full generations tend to cancel each other leaving only a small residual effect mostly from quark mass differences.

➡ GIM mechanism (Glashow, Iliopoulos, Maiani) ⇒ charm prediction.

 \checkmark J/ Υ bound state discovered at AGS and SPEAR (1974)

Electroweak CP Violation

- CP violation (CPV) typically occurs in the presence of complex phases leading to different interference effects between charge-conjugate amplitudes.
- Many phases in (Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa) matrix,

 $V_{\rm CKM} = U_L^u U_L^{d^{\dagger}} = V_{\rm CKM}^{\dagger-1}$, removable by redefinitions.

- Phase-transformations, U^R = U^L = diag($\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$), keep masses unchanged; use to remove 6-1 = 5 phases.
- Remain 3 mixing angles and 1 observable (CP violating) phase, e^{iδ}.

Electroweak CP Violation

- For N families, N²−(2N−1) = (N−1)² parameters ⇒
 $(N-1)^2 N(N-1)/2 = (N-1)(N-2)/2$ CP phases.
- Since N ≥ 3 is needed and observed values of CKMmatrix connecting third with lighter two families ⇒ electroweak CPV predicted but small for any δ.
- \checkmark CPV observed in kaons and B-mesons.
- \checkmark All results consistent with one common value for δ .
- But not with baryon asymmetry of the universe (BAU)
- And what about direct CPV in $B \rightarrow K\pi$ decays?

New Type of CPV Discovered?

$$A^{0} = \frac{\Gamma(B^{0} \to K^{+}\pi^{-}) - \Gamma(B^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+})}{\Gamma(B^{0} \to K^{+}\pi^{-}) + \Gamma(B^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+})} > 0 \qquad \text{Belle,}$$

$$A^{\pm} = \frac{\Gamma(B^{+} \to K^{+}\pi^{0}) - \Gamma(B^{-} \to K^{-}\pi^{0})}{\Gamma(B^{+} \to K^{+}\pi^{0}) + \Gamma(B^{-} \to K^{-}\pi^{0})} < 0 \qquad (2008)$$



illustration from Peskins' Nature article

Strong CP Violation

 \odot Total derivative \Rightarrow harmless in perturbation theory.

But non-trivial effect through extended spacetimedependent (topological) field configurations (instantons)

➡ But OK if any one quark mass was zero.

CKM-Matrix

Sarlskog invariant J: 2×area of any unitarity triangle.

 $V_{\rm CKM} =$

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0.97383^{+0.00024}_{-0.00023} & 0.2272^{+0.0010}_{-0.0010} & (3.96^{+0.09}_{-0.09}) \times 10^{-3} \\ 0.2271^{+0.0010}_{-0.0010} & 0.97296^{+0.00024}_{-0.00024} & (42.21^{+0.10}_{-0.80}) \times 10^{-3} \\ (8.14^{+0.32}_{-0.64}) \times 10^{-3} & (41.61^{+0.12}_{-0.78}) \times 10^{-3} & 0.999100^{+0.000034}_{-0.00004} \end{pmatrix},$

and the Jarlskog invariant is $J = (3.08^{+0.16}_{-0.18}) \times 10^{-5}$.

from Particle Data Group (2006)

SM Parameter Summary

- 3 gauge couplings: g, g', and g^s or α, sin²θ^W, and α^s
 2 Higgs potential parameters: λ and m²_Φ or M^H and v
 9 fermion masses: e, μ, τ, u, d, s, c, b, and t
 3 CKM mixing angles
- @ 1 CKM phase
- $@ 1 QCD \theta$ -angle (does not enter Feynman rules)

→ Total: 19 arbitrary real parameters

Lecture IV Experimental Tests

The Status 25 Years Ago
Z⁰ Pole Physics
Z⁰ Pole Formulas
LEP
Z⁰ lineshape
SLC

Lecture IV Experimental Tests

Mass Determinations

Master Equations

 $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons)$

Polarized Electron Scattering

Atomic Parity Violation

Running Weak Mixing Angle

SM Parameters: Results

The Status 25 Years Ago

 \checkmark Weak neutral currents (1973) ✓ P-violation in e⁻-D deep inelastic scattering (1978) ✓ Gauge bosons (1983) → SM correct at least to first approximation Need high precision experiments to establish the SM as a renormalizable QFT at level of quantum effects 𝔹 $q^2/4\pi^2 ≈ 0.01 \Rightarrow$ need better than 1% accuracies \Rightarrow Z factories LEP (CERN) and SLC (SLAC)

Z⁰ Pole Physics

 \oslash Z⁰ lineshape at LEP (3) Leptonic BRs and FB asymmetries at LEP (6) Leptonic LR (LR-FB) asymmetries at SLC (4) \odot Tau polarization at LEP (2) Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries (2)
 Oharge asymmetries
 Oharge asymmetries Strange quarks (3)

Heavy flavor BR and asymmetries (6)

Z⁰ Pole Formulas

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_W(W^+ \to e^+ \nu_e) &= \frac{G_F M_W^3}{6\sqrt{2\pi}} \qquad v_f = t_f^{3L} - 2Q_f \sin^2 \theta_W \\ \Gamma_W(W^+ \to u_i \overline{d}_j) &= \frac{CG_F M_W^3}{6\sqrt{2\pi}} |V_{ij}|^2 \qquad \sin^2 \theta_W \approx 0.23 \sim 1/4 \\ \Gamma_Z(Z \to \psi_f \overline{\psi}_f) &= \frac{CG_F M_Z^3}{6\sqrt{2\pi}} (v_f^2 + a_f^2) \qquad A_f \equiv \frac{2v_f a_f}{v_f^2 + a_f^2} \\ A_{FB}^0(f) &\equiv \frac{\sigma_F - \sigma_B}{\sigma_F + \sigma_B} = \frac{3}{4} A_e A_f \qquad A_{LR}^0 \equiv \frac{\sigma_L - \sigma_R}{\sigma_L + \sigma_R} = A_e \\ A_{LR,FB}^0(f) &\equiv \frac{\sigma_{LF}^f - \sigma_{LB}^f - \sigma_{RF}^f + \sigma_{RB}^f}{\sigma_{LF}^f + \sigma_{LB}^f + \sigma_{RF}^f + \sigma_{RB}^f} = \frac{3}{4} A_f \qquad a_f = t_f^{3L} \end{split}$$

LEP

@ 17 million Z⁰ decays including Z⁰ pole energy scan

 \Rightarrow

$$\begin{split} M_Z &= 91.1876 \pm 0.0021 \text{ GeV} \\ \Gamma_Z &= 2.4952 \pm 0.0023 \text{ GeV} \\ \sigma_{had} &= 41.541 \pm 0.037 \text{ nb} \end{split}$$

 $\Gamma_Z, \sigma_{\text{had}}, R_\ell(\ell = e, \mu, \tau) \Rightarrow \alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1213 \pm 0.0030$

 $\Gamma_{\rm inv} = \Gamma_Z - \Gamma_{\rm had} - \Gamma_l \Rightarrow \qquad N_v = 2.985 \pm 0.007$

ore ∝ 1-4 sin²θ^W ≈ 0.075 ≪ 1 ⇒ sensitivity increase
 $\frac{\sin^2 \theta_W}{v_e} \frac{\partial v_e}{\partial \sin^2 \theta_W} \approx 12.3$

The Weak Isospin of the Bottom Quark



 \Rightarrow top quark exists

Z⁰ lineshape



SLC

- Ø 600,000 Z^0 bosons with a 75% polarized e[−] beam.

- No need to tag quark flavor or distinguish quark from antiquark (only counting of hadrons/leptons) → clean.
- Quark and lepton couplings to Z⁰ boson verified to better than 1% accuracy.
- The But non-standard amplitudes would be hide under Z^0 .

Mass Determinations

Z mass and width from LEP 1
W mass (and width) from LEP 2 and Tevatron (FNAL)
Top quark mass from Tevatron & (before) from global fit
Charm and bottom quark masses (QCD sum rules)
Light quark masses (chiral perturbation theory)
Higgs boson from global fit & (later) from LHC (CERN)

Master Equations

$$\sin^2 \hat{\theta}_W(M_Z) \equiv \hat{s}^2 = \frac{A^2}{M_W^2 (1 - \Delta \hat{r}_W)},$$
$$\sin^2 \hat{\theta}_W(M_Z) \cos^2 \hat{\theta}_W = \frac{A^2}{M_Z^2 (1 - \Delta \hat{r}_Z)},$$
$$A = \left[\frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2}G_F}\right]^{1/2}$$

 $\Delta \hat{r}_{W} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \hat{\Delta}_{\gamma} + \frac{\hat{\Pi}_{WW}(M_{W}^{2}) - \hat{\Pi}_{WW}(0)}{M_{W}^{2}} + V + B$ $\Delta \hat{r}_{Z} = \Delta \hat{r}_{W} + (1 - \Delta \hat{r}_{W}) \frac{\hat{\Pi}_{ZZ}(M_{Z}^{2}) - \frac{\hat{\Pi}_{WW}(M_{W}^{2})}{\cos^{2}\hat{\theta}_{W}}}{M_{Z}^{2}}$

W vs. Top Mass



$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons)$



Higgs vs. Top Mass



Polarized Electron Scattering

- LR cross-section asymmetry: Interference between P conserving γ amplitude and P Z⁰ mediated amplitude.
- @ eD-DIS (1978): Q²/M² ~ 10⁻⁴ ⇒ 10⁻⁵ uncertainty ↔
 10% determination of Z⁰ amplitude (SLAC)
- Polarized e⁻e⁻ (Møller)-scattering: Q² = 0.026 GeV²; A^{pv} = (-1.31 ± 0.17)×10⁻⁷ ⇒ (enhanced sensitivity) sin²θ^W(Q²) = 0.2397 ± 0.0013 (SLAC).

Atomic Parity Violation

Atomic Parity Violation → mixing between opposite parity states.

- Seffect extremely small; use small modulation of level mixing by external electric field (Stark-mixing).

- Complication: atomic structure calculations.

Most precise: ⁷s→⁶s transition in Cs (Boulder) ⇒
 Q^W(Cs) = 72.62 ± 0.46 ⇒ sin²θ^W = 0.2291 ± 0.0019.

Running Weak Mixing Angle



SM Parameters: Fit Results

parameter	central value	uncertainty		
$1/\hat{\alpha}(M_Z)$	127.909	± 0.019		
$\sin^2 \hat{\theta}_W(M_Z)$	0.23119	± 0.00014		
$\hat{\alpha}_s(M_Z)$	0.1217	± 0.0017		
M_W	80.375 GeV	± 15 MeV		
M_Z	91.1876 GeV	± 2.1 MeV		
M_H	77 GeV	+28 -22 GeV		
$\hat{m}_c(\hat{m}_c)$	1.274 GeV	+36 -45 MeV		
$\hat{m}_b(\hat{m}_b)$	4.196 GeV	± 28 MeV		
M_t	171.1 GeV	± 1.9 GeV		

Higgs Boson Mass



Lecture V Beyond the Standard Model

- SM Limitations
- Other Low Energy Tests
- Muon g−2
- Accidental Symmetries and non-Renormalizable Terms
- The second s
- v Oscillations

Lecture V Beyond the Standard Model

Baryon Number Nonconservation and p Decay
Running Gauge Couplings (RG)
SM RG
MSSM RG
Oblique Parameters
Conclusions

SM Limitations

- Hierarchy problem (quadratic Higgs mass corrections).
- Cosmological constant problem.
- Strong CP problem.
- Gauge group, irreps, and parameters ad hoc.
- v oscillations.
- Gravity non-renormalizable.
- Baryon asymmetry of the universe.
- Ø Dark matter.

Other Low Energy Tests

σ τ lifetime and leptonic BRs (LEP, CLEO) $b \rightarrow s \gamma (BaBar, Belle, CLEO)$ Michel parameters (TWIST) Electric Dipole Momonts (EDMs) Lepton Flavor Violation (LFV) CKM-unitarity

Anomalous magnetic moment of the muon (g-2)



Muon g-2



Measuring with small uncertainties yields sensitivities to high energy scales:



Anomalous magnetic moment of the muon

$$a_{\mu} \equiv \frac{g_{\mu} - 2}{2} = (1165920.80 \pm 0.63) \times 10^{-9}$$

 $\Lambda_{\rm new} \sim \frac{m_{\mu}}{\sqrt{0.63 \times 10^{-9}}} \approx 4.2 \text{ TeV}$

Sensitivity for physics beyond the SM.

 \odot Discrepancy of 2.7 σ (standard deviations).

Complication: Hadronic loop effects.















Accidental Symmetries and non-Renormalizable Terms
Power expansion in A⁻¹ with each (gauge and Lorentz invariant) "operator" containing only SM fields.

 \oslash Unsuppressed terms \equiv SM (effective field theory).

Non-renormalizable terms "match" full theory; can alternatively be taken as new adjustable parameters.

In SM: accidental electron #, muon #, tau #, and baryon # conservation (e.g., μ → e γ).

Also, accidental approximate CP conservation.

Expect these to be violated by higher dimensional operators (HDOs).

Lepton Number Nonconservation and v Mass At O(A⁻¹) baryon # still accidentally conserved.

The But one can form invariant terms out of 2 lepton and 2 Higgs doublets. H \rightarrow v \Rightarrow

$$L_M = -\sum_{ij} \frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\Lambda_{new}} \overline{\mathbf{v}_i^c} \mathbf{v}_j \ v^2.$$

- Majorana mass term: connects left-handed and righthanded components of conjugate fields. \rightarrow
- \Rightarrow $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decays (e.g., $K^- \rightarrow \pi^+e^-e^-$ or nuclei decays)
- Depton # violation not yet observed.
v Oscillations

- \oslash Needs $\lambda^{ab} \neq 0$, for $a \neq b$, and $m^a \neq m^b$.
- → Mass eigenstates ≠ weak interaction eigenstates.
 → Lepton # conserving but lepton flavor # violating.
 → Maki, Nakagawa, Sakata ∨ mixing-matrix (cf. CKM).
 ◊ LH fields ↔ RH antifields ⇒ phase counting different.
- Dirac phase plus N_v-1 additional (CP violating) Majorana phases (not yet observed).
- v oscillations observed in vs from the sun, earth's atmosphere, nuclear reactors & particle accelerators.

v Oscillations

- Disappearance experiments: rate decrease of vs from source with known flavor composition.
- Appearance: detection of v flavor not initially present.
 ✓ Σ of all v flavors appears unchanged (SNO).
 Δm² ~ O(10⁻¹ eV) [atmospheric] and O(10⁻² eV) [solar].
 Generally large mixing angles (except θ₁₃).
 λ^{ab} ≤ O(1) ⇒ Λ ≤ O(10¹⁵ GeV).
- Realization: see-saw mechanism (integrate out very heavy right-handed Majorana v).
- \oslash If right-handed v has no Majorana mass: Dirac vs.

Baryon Number Nonconservation and p Decay \oslash At $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-2})$ baryon and lepton # violation possible. Invariant terms made of 1 lepton and 3 quark fields. \implies Proton decay rate ~ $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-4})$; $\tau(p) \sim \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{4}/m(p)^{5})$. Realization: Grand Unfied Theories (GUTs) with very heavy gauge bosons (SSB!) producing Λ ; e.g. SU(5). \Rightarrow p \rightarrow e⁺ π^{0} , etc. Only academic interest: p decay by instantons in SM. Baryon # violation necessary for BAU.

Running Gauge Couplings (RG)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mu) &= \frac{\alpha(\mu_0)}{1 - \frac{\alpha(\mu_0)}{\pi} \beta_0 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2}} \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1}(\mu) = \alpha^{-1}(\mu_0) - \frac{\beta_0}{\pi} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2} \\ \mu_U &= M_Z \exp\left[\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\alpha_1^{-1}(M_Z) - \alpha_2^{-1}(M_Z)}{\beta_0^{(1)} - \beta_0^{(2)}}\right] \\ \alpha_3^{-1}(M_Z) &= \frac{\beta_0^{(3)} - \beta_0^{(2)}}{\beta_0^{(1)} - \beta_0^{(2)}} \alpha_1^{-1}(M_Z) + \frac{\beta_0^{(3)} - \beta_0^{(1)}}{\beta_0^{(2)} - \beta_0^{(1)}} \alpha_2^{-1}(M_Z) \\ \alpha^{-1}(\mu_U) &= \frac{\alpha_2^{-1} \beta_0^{(1)} - \alpha_1^{-1} \beta_0^{(2)}}{\beta_0^{(1)} - \beta_0^{(2)}} \end{aligned}$$

SM RG

 $\frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_1(M_Z)} = \frac{3}{5} \frac{\cos^2 \hat{\theta}(M_Z)}{\hat{\alpha}(M_Z)} = 59.003 \pm 0.014,$ $\frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_2(M_Z)} = \frac{\sin^2 \hat{\theta}(M_Z)}{\hat{\alpha}(M_Z)} = 29.571 \pm 0.018,$ $\frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_3(M_Z)} = \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_s(M_Z)} = 8.217 \pm 0.115.$ $\beta_0^{(1)} = \frac{3}{5} \left[\frac{1}{6} \sum_f y_f^2 + \frac{1}{24} \sum_s y_s^2 \right] = \frac{3}{5} \left[\frac{n_F}{6} \frac{10}{3} + \frac{n_H}{24} \right] = \frac{41}{40},$ $\beta_0^{(2)} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{f} T_f + \sum_{s} \frac{1}{12} T_s - \frac{11}{12} C_A = \frac{n_F}{3} + \frac{n_H}{24} - \frac{11}{6} = -\frac{19}{24},$ $\beta_0^{(3)} = \frac{1}{3} \sum T_q - \frac{11}{12} C_A = \frac{n_F}{3} - \frac{11}{4} = -\frac{7}{4}$

MSSM RG

 $\beta_0^{(1)} = \frac{3}{5} \times \frac{1}{4} \sum_{F} y_{\Phi}^2 = \frac{3}{5} \left| \frac{n_F}{4} \frac{10}{3} + \frac{n_H}{8} \right| = \frac{33}{20},$ $\beta_0^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} \sum T_{\Phi} - \frac{3}{4} C_A = \frac{n_F}{2} + \frac{n_H}{8} - \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{4},$ $\beta_0^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r} T_q - \frac{3}{4} C_A = \frac{n_F}{2} - \frac{9}{4} = -\frac{3}{4}.$ $\mu_U^{\text{SM}}(1\text{-loop}) = 1.0 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV} \qquad \mu_U^{\text{MSSM}}(1\text{-loop}) = 2.0 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ $\alpha_s (M_Z)^{\rm SM}$ (1-loop prediction) = 0.071 $\alpha_s(M_Z)^{\text{MSSM}}(1\text{-loop prediction}) = 0.117$ $\alpha^{-1}(\mu_U)^{\rm SM} = 42.4$ $\alpha^{-1}(\mu_U)^{\text{MSSM}} = 24.3$

MSSM RG



Oblique Parameters



S

Conclusions

Structure of SM follows basically from QM and Lorentz invariance.

✓ Experimentally extremely well tested and correct.
✓ Most SM parameters well measured.
Still need to discover the Higgs boson.
Some smaller (inconclusive) but interesting deviations.
Naturalness and fine-tuning problems.



























